Research Report

A_ye_s s f_y a e₂ba g e ₄: P₄ g c ₃₄b s a d d₁ a c b₂a a c s a₃

Zhihao Li^a, Min Bao^a, Xiangchuan Chen^a, Daren Zhang^{a,*}, Shihui Han^b, Sheng He^c, Xiaoping Hu^d

^aHefei National Laboratory for Physical Science at Microscale, and School of Life Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, PR China

^bDepartment of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, PR China

^cDepartment of Psychology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

^dDepartment of Biomedical Engineering, Emory University and Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Accepted 6 January 2006 Available online 9 March 2006

Keywords: Attention shift Working memory Event-related potential

ABSTRACT

When multiple items in working memory need to be accessed and manipulated, the internal attention should switch between them and, this switching process is time consuming (H. Garavan. Serial attention within working memory. Mem. Cognit. 26 (1998) 263–276). However, it is not clear how much of this switching cost is due to the existence or absence of the stimulus identification priming. With a figure identification and counting task, we demonstrate a small but significant priming contribution to this attention-switching cost. Furthermore, through 64-channel event-related potential (ERP) recordings, we found two ERP correlates (at 280 ms and 388 ms) of this internal attention-switching function. Source localization analysis shows dynamic brain activation starts from the temporal-occipital region and finishes in the left prefrontal cortex. The occipital-prefrontal and cingulate-prefrontal co-activations were orderly observed. We discuss the present ERP results along with our previous fMRI findings and suggest a dominant role of the left prefrontal cortex associated with attention shifts in verbal working memory.

© 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. I 🙀 d c 🖕

Attention implies allocating resources, perceptual or cognitive, to something at the expense of not allocating them to something else (Harris and Jenkin, 2001). Besides the attention allocated to targets in the external world (for review, see Ref. Cave and Bichot, 1999; Egeth and Yantis, 1997), there is also a top-down attentional mechanism in working memory that serves as a selective spotlight for tasks requiring access and manipulation of multiple internal memory representations.

(the)4313.3(llocan(ion)4223.3(of)-309(internln)4223.3attsen(ion)427.4(ion)4263.3((emory)-263.3cann)TJTbey)2590.1studied.1

successive shapes were of the same type (both were circles or triangles) than that of a different type (e.g., a circle followed by a triangle). The extra time cost in the latter condition was considered to reflect an attention-switching process between the two counts. This "serial count" task has provided a useful paradigm for studying mental attention shift and executive function and has been adopted in a variety of studies (Garavan et al., 2000; Gehring et al., 2003; Kubler et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004; Sylvester et al., 2003). However, when attention is switched from one item to another, some additional factors may be involved, and their potential contributions to the switching cost are still unclear (Gehring et al., 2003).

One important factor is priming difference arising from the repetition or the lack of repetition between successive items. Because the successive shapes are of the same type in the no switching trials while they are different in the switching trials, responses in the no switching trials may benefit from priming at the perceptual or recognition level. In other words, the process involved in identification of the present shape might be accelerated if the same shape had just been presented and identified in the previous trial. To rule out priming arising from the switching effect, Garavan (1998) had the subjects count a set of large and small squares instead of the circles and triangles in a second experiment. He again found a sizable switch - no-switch difference in response time and argued that it ruled out the existence of feature-specific priming. This finding, however, could not completely exclude priming as an explanation of the switching effect. Specifically, it did not account for the possibility that participants are faster at identifying a shape exactly identical to the preceding one compared to identifying the same shape with one or more feature changes (e.g., size). To address this possibility, Garavan used a figure identification task. Interestingly, the result showed a marginally significant effect for the CONGRUENT/INCONGRU-ENT factor in the opposite direction to that predicted by a priming explanation with a faster LARGE/SMALL judgment for the INCONGUENT figure permutations.

This figure identification result can apparently rule out stimulus identification priming as a factor in the attentionswitching cost seen in the "dual-count" task. However, there was a difference between the figure identification and the "dual-count" task. In the figure identification task, a priming square was presented first, followed by a target square. Subjects were instructed not to respond to the priming figure but to respond to the target figure as quickly as possible without sacrificing accuracy. In such a task design, there was only one possible figure switch. However, in the "dual-count" task, several figure switches happened sequentially. As a switch of squares would necessarily bring a change of screen luminance (because the squares were different in size), the experimenter did not rule out the possibility that subjects could respond simply by detecting a luminance change rather than really identifying the figure. Because detecting a luminance change might be more expedient than a stimulus identification process, it is possible that subjects tended to use it. With a faster response when the prime and the target figure were different, Garavan's result could be due to this luminance effect. On the contrary, when the "dual-count" task was performed, the correct figure identification was essential for the stimuluscount association. Subjects would depend much more on the

figure identity instead of the screen luminance. So, a stimulus identification task with just one possible figure switch might not be a good method to gauge the priming contribution in the switching effect.

Despite Garavan's findings, Gehring et al. (2003) tried to isolate the priming contribution with a modified "dual-count" task. They used 4 physically distinct stimuli (#, @, &, %) with two of them mapped to the first memory count and the other two mapped to the second. This arrangement produced a set of no-switch trials for which the stimuli on the two consecutive trials were as different in physical appearance and identity as the two consecutive stimuli that constituted a switch trial. Their behavioral data showed that when two consecutive figures required an update of the same count, the no-switch facilitation was greater when the stimuli repeated than changed. In addition, they observed an ERP activity associated with the figure mismatch effect, which was anterior to that associated with counter switching. With this result, Gehring and his colleagues suggested that priming of the physical characteristics/identity of stimulus contributed to the counter switching cost.

The attention-switching effect in the Garavan's "dualcount" task refers to the longer reaction time when the subjects need to update the counts of two different figures in succession compared to the time for continuously updating the same count. In this task, there is a one-to-one mapping between the stimuli and the mental counts. A successive figure change would necessarily bring an internal attention switch. In another words, even before the newly presented figure has been correctly identified, the subject knows that he/ she should switch attention as soon as a figure change has been detected. If we suppose that an attention-switching process can be divided into multiple sub-steps, such as attention disengagement, attention movement, and attention reengagement, then the attention disengagement could start immediately when a figure change has been detected. However, in Gehring's task, in which two stimuli are mapped to each of the two counts, attention would not necessarily shift given a figure change. On seeing a different figure, instead of disengaging the focal attention from the present mental count, subjects should first make a decision as whether or not to switch his attention based on the exact identity of the current figure. This decision-making step is an extra process that did not exist in Garavan's original "dualcount" task. In Gehring's experiment, except for the stimulus identification priming effect, the different frontal ERP elicited by "No-attention-switch, different-stimuli" and "No-attention-switch, same-stimuli" conditions could also be due to this decision-making process.

Based on the above considerations, a task with a one-toone mapping between the figure stimuli and the memory counts might be a better gauge of stimulus identification priming in the mental attention-switching cost. Garavan tried one task of this kind (Garavan, 1998), but the luminance change might have been introduced in his "single-switch" paradigm as an uncontrolled factor. A better figure identification task design would make the stimulus sequence to be just exactly as those used in the counting task. In distinction from the counting (which has a memory requirement), subjects would only need to identify each figure without

In addition to the stimulus identification priming issue, we also investigate the ERP characteristics and corresponding source distributions of the mental attention shift. As shown by several fMRI studies (Garavan et al., 2000; Kubler et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004; Sylvester et al., 2003), a widely distributed brain network (including the occipital visual area, the parietal cortex, the cingulate gyrus, and the lateral prefrontal cortex) is involved in this attention shift function. In particular, in our previous fMRI study (Li et al., 2004), we found a unique linear correlation between the peak time of the left dorsal lateral prefrontal cortex and the behavioral attention-switching cost within subjects. In addition, the involved brain areas showed a higher signal correlation in the "more shift" than the "less shift" condition. This suggested a closer collaboration between these areas when a relatively greater attention switch was required. However, these fMRI results only provided a static activation map of the neural correlates, lacking information about the temporal dynamics. For example, we discussed that the visual area and the cingulate gyrus each played a specific role in attention switching with the former associating the memory counts with its outer probe and the later monitoring response conflict. An important question that remains is "which activation occurs first"? With a much higher temporal resolution, ERP recording has an advantage in answering such a question.

In order to compare the present ERP result with our previous fMRI activity (Li et al., 2004), we adopted the same "tri-count" paradigm that has been used in the fMRI experiment. Namely, three stimulus figures were mapped to three memory counts. In Garavan's "dual-count" task (Garavan, 1998), there was no significant RT difference between an "A \rightarrow B" and a "B \rightarrow A" switch. In contrast, as shown in our previous study, a significant switching asymmetry was observed in the "tri-count" task with a shift along the rehearsal order being faster than a shift in the opposite direction (e.g., if the three mental counts were rehearsed as A–B–C, then attention switch "A \rightarrow B" is faster than "B \rightarrow A"; "A \rightarrow C" is faster than "C \rightarrow A"; "B \rightarrow C" is faster than "C \rightarrow B"). This directional effect reflects the nature of how items are accessed in verbal working memory. We discussed previously some indications about it and would like to test whether an ERP difference could also be elicited in the present study.

2. Res 🛓

In the "tri-count" task, the mean counting accuracy of all the 24 subjects was 91.2% (SD = 7.4%). Most counting errors were of the type that only one of the three counts was incorrect and off by only one. It is reasonable that subjects were diligent in performing the task in trials with this type of error. The count accuracy would be 97.1% (SD = 4.0%) without considering this type of error. The RT for the "NS" (1232.5 ms) condition was significantly shorter than that for the "S" (1851.4 ms) condition (paired t test, N = 24, t = 18.1, P < 0.001). In addition, the "DS" RT (1795.5 ms) was also significantly shorter than that of "US" (1907.4 ms) condition (paired t test, N = 24, t = 2.1, P = 0.046).

In the "figure identification" task, all subjects were extremely accurate (mean accuracy: 98.5%, SD: 1.1%). As an indication of the priming effect, we did find a significant RT difference between the "NS" (563.4 ms) and "S" (633.5 ms) condition (paired t test, N = 12, t = 9.1, P < 0.001). However, in contrast to the "tri-count" task, because no counts need to be remembered or updated here, there was not a significant RT difference between the "DS" and "US" conditions (DS: 625.5 ms vs. US: 640.1 ms, paired t test, N = 12, t = 1.3, P = 0.26).

The "NS" and "S" RTs of both the "tri-count" and the "figure identification" task are shown in Fig. 1. The data were from the 12 subjects who performed both tasks. We found that although a significant "NS" vs. "S" RT difference existed in both tasks, it was much smaller for the figure identification task. Estimating from the RT difference, the figure identification priming contribution (633 ms–563 ms = 70 ms) accounted for less than 1/5 of the stimuli switching cost (1639 ms–1279 ms = 360 ms). We could subtract the priming contribution from the stimuli switching the significance of the RT difference brought by a mental attention shift (see the green bars in the Fig. 1).

Significant time (18 or 6 time bins) × channel (FZ/CZ/PZ/ OZ) × switch_condition ("S"/"NS") interaction was observed in the ERP data of both the "tri-count" (24 subjects, 18 time bins, Greenhouse–Geisser F(51,1173) = 12.05, P < 0.001) and the "figure identification" (12 subjects, 6 time bins, Greenhouse-Geisser F(15,165) = 4.72, P = 0.008) task. If only the switch effect ("S"/"NS") was consider, it was also significant for the "tri-count" (Greenhouse–Geisser F(1,23) = 12.45, P = 0.002), but not for the "figure identification" (Greenhouse-Geisser F (1,11) = 1.01, P = 0.34) task. The ERP waveforms of each experimental condition from the four middle line electrodes are shown in Fig. 2 with the significant point-by-point t test difference marked. For the "figure identification" task, the "NS" (the white line) and "S" (the black line) waveforms do not significantly diverge within the period of about 500 ms after the stimuli onset. However, for the "tri-count" task, significant ERP differences between the "NS" and "S" condition appeared relatively earlier. It existed mainly in a time range from about 200 ms to 800 ms. We also observed significant time × channel × switch_direction ("US"/"DS") interactions in the "tri-count" task (24 subjects, Greenhouse-Geisser F(51,1173) = 2.39, P = 0.04). But the switch direction effect ("US"/"DS") was not significant (Greenhouse-Geisser F (1,23) = 0.50, P = 0.49). The point-by-point ERP comparison between the "tri-count_DS" and "tri-count_US" only showed significant difference at the PZ and CZ electrodes.

As shown in Fig. 3, the attention-switching effect is illustrated by the difference waves obtained by subtracting the "NS" waveform from the "S" waveform in the "tri-count" data. There is one positive wave peaking at 280 ms and one negative wave peaking at 388 ms. For comparison, the "S" – "NS" difference wave for the "figure identification" task (the ERP correlates of the figure identification priming effect) are also drawn in the same graph. Visual inspection of the two difference waves (generated from the same 12 subjects who performed both tasks) suggests that figure identification priming does not provide a significant contribution to the attention-switching effect at least within the time range from 0 ms to 500 ms. This is because of the much higher amplitude of the counting

difference wave than that of the priming difference wave at the two peaks (280 ms and 388 ms). The scalp topographies of these two counting difference peaks are shown at the bottom of Fig. 3. The first peak (280 ms) seems to originate from occipital and extrastriate activity. For the second peak (388 ms), the neural system might be more distributed including the parietal, frontal cortex, and possibly the cingulate areas.

To provide an accurate and dynamic brain localization of

equal (450 trials for each condition). This extra ERP result replicated the observations from the main cohort of subjects. With the similar N2-like and larger P300 peaks still clearly shown in the "NS" waveform (see Fig. 5), it is unlikely that they could be due to the oddball probability effects.

The more "NS" qu(e)1-18.t(x)27.59(on2x)27.a(is)2439.4(tc)18.66(t)owhn350



From the behavioral RT data, we found a significant figure



Fg.4 – Te"c 🛶 🚽 de sy"s 🚅 ea a ss f ea ye y <mark>ہ</mark> g ERP ac. e_ a_ s Те f e g_a s ₄ e b_{ye}f, _a d_yec ₄es d g Mea G_ba_Fe_dP e₄(MGFP) f₄ e"s _c "dffe_e ce a e (a e_age f ۰C -s , e s 24 s b ec_s). T e _ b _ e , b _ e _ es d ca_e _ e _ e d . F. sed _ce_ec s._ c. , e ęf., , s , e 🚑 s 🔩 f , e s dd e a f eg a _ceaa__∢ssa_s,280 s(₄g _a d dd e-sag _a_e, _e s e ad 388 s (______). Teb_e _s a_ d e b a s 5 s f, e EEG e ec., des. T e c , es f, e a_ 🖕 е , e_agead, ee a ed a_acea_es a_{s s}eb 🙀 f.eg_a S. C.

detection has nothing to do with the figure orientations (e.g., a right angle/arc can be detected no matter the rectangle/ellipse standing or lying), the decision-making process would not be necessary in the one-to-one mapping experiment design.

In our present ERP results, the significant 280-ms activity appeared in the "tri-count" but not in the "figure identification" task, suggesting that this ERP activity might be attention switching related. The localized sources of this ERP effect (the bilateral temporal–occipital area, the left parietal cortex, and the left prefrontal cortex) are consistent with the results of our previous fMRI study (Li et al., 2004) that also showed attention-switching related BOLD (blood oxygen level dependent) activation in the extrastriate visual area and the left prefrontal cortex.

Activations of the extrastriate visual area have been previously reported in studies on this very same type of attention switching (Garavan et al., 2000; Kubler et al., 2003; Sylvester et al., 2003), but it has not received much attention. Both our earlier work on memory scanning (Zhang et al., 2003) and our recent fMRI study (Li et al., 2004) have discussed that this area's role in the mental attention-switching task might be that of associating the memory item with its external experimental probe possibly via visual imagery (Chen et al., 1998; Klein et al., 2000). Also in our previous fMRI study (Li et al., 2004), we found a higher level of correlated activities between the left prefrontal cortex and the extrastriate visual area in conditions of "more-attention-shift" than "less-attention-shift". Our present data are consistent with this result, showing a co-activation of these two brain areas with a temporal resolution of milliseconds. Based on Baddeley's working memory model (Baddeley, 1997) in which verbal memory items are rehearsed

in the phonological loop and various control processes are performed by the central executive (CE), the mental attention shift can be regarded as a function of the CE. And because there is evidence showing a close relation between the prefrontal cortex and the CE (D'Esposito et al., 1995), the co-activation of the left prefrontal cortex and the extrastriate visual area suggests that working memory attention switching function may need a CE's top-down modulation on visual area (Rowe et al., 2000). Electrophysiological experiment in animals supports this view by showing a degradation of short-term remembering performance caused by desynchronization of neuronal activity in the visual and prefrontal areas (Dudkin et al., 2001). Here with the ERP recording, the present result indicates that this frontal-visual synchronization might also exist in human subjects. It occurs around 280 ms in task of attention switching in human working memory.

The above discussion of frontal-visual co-activation does not diminish the importance of the parietal activation. In fact, there is evidence that the human prefrontal and parietal cortex operated with the extrastriate visual area as an integrated system in subjective visual perception. Their reciprocal interaction was proposed to serve to selectively integrate internal representations of visual events in the broader temporal and behavioral context (Lumer and Rees, 1999). However, because of the general involvement of the parietal cortex in cognitive brain functions such as memory storage (Honey et al., 2000), its specific role in the present internal attention switching task remains unclear. Since a number of studies (for example, see Ref. Yantis et al., 2002) have shown that the parietal cortex also participates in spatial attention shift, we suggest that it may represent a common mental resource required in attentional switching in both the external spatial and the internal memory

domain. This view complements with Dehaene and colleagues' hypothesis (Dahaene et al., 2003) that in addition to being involved in spatial attention, posterior superior parietal lobule can also contribute to attentional selection on other mental dimensions that are analogous to space, such as time or number.

In addition to the frontal-visual co-activation, we also observed frontal-cingulate co-activation in the present study. It was represented by the 388 ms peak on the "tri-count" difference wave. This ERP activity was quite similar to the "counter-switching" effect found by Gehring et al. (2003) with both showing a larger negativity in the "switch" than in the "no-switch" condition. Also, they shared a common frontalcentral scalp locus in these two studies. However, compared to the present 388-ms activity, we note that the "counterswitching" ERP effect was 48 ms later in Gehring's study (436 ms). As discussed earlier, this delay might be due to the extra decision-making process in Gehring's task. Despite this small time discrepancy, the cingulate activation seen in the present source localization supports the view point of Gehring et al. (2003) that this ERP effect is an error-related negativity (ERN) (Falkenstein et al., 1991).

ERN was demonstrated as being generated by the cingulate cortex (Dahaene et al., 1994; Kiehl et al., 2000) and reflecting a monitoring process involved in detecting errors or response conflict (Bernstein et al., 1995). The conflict in the present "tricount" task existed between a relatively automatic or intrinsic response of repeatedly updating the same count and a response requiring an attentional switch to update a different count. On monitoring this conflict, the cingulate area may act as a source of feedback to mechanisms involved in recruiting attention, serving to indicate the need for increasing top-down control (Botvinick et al., 1999). Its function has often been found to be closely related to the prefrontal cortex (Banich et al., 2000; Carter et al., 1998; Cohen et al., 2000; Dove et al., 2000; Gehring and Knight, 2000; Luks et al., 2002) with the latter being engaged in the implementation of top-down control (MacDonald et al., 2000). Consistent with this view, we have shown a highly correlated frontal and cingulate activation in our previous fMRI study (Li et al., 2004). Here, the ERP experiment provides further validation of this frontal-cingulate collaboration based on the electrophysiological signal. Importantly, the cine view (see the left_sag_compare.gif) of the brain source activation provides a more vivid account of the dynamic prefrontal-cingulate interaction. In accordance with the hypothesis that the cingulate cortex sends a feedback signal to the prefrontal cortex indicating the need for increasing top-down control, the activation of the left prefrontal area was prolonged and even enlarged in cortical extent after cingulate activation. This might reflect the positive response of the left prefrontal cortex to cingulate signaling. This observation is worth noting because an important prediction of the "cingulate-prefrontal" hypothesis concerning cognitive control is that conflict-related activity in the cingulate should predict a subsequent increase in prefrontal activity (Kerns et switching direction effect was not significant (P = 0.49) in the ANOVA analysis, and the point-by-point ERP comparison only showed significant "DS" vs. "US" differences at the PZ and CZ electrodes. We did not perform source localization analysis on this ERP result because of the low SNR. Since we have previously found a medial occipital fMRI correlate of this switching direction effect, the absence of the current ERP correlate could be regard as the so-called "silent source" (Wagner and Fuchs, 2001). Though we could not make further inference from the ERP difference, we still reported the "DS"/"US" result to provide the readers a comprehensive view of our present data. A deeper understanding of the underlying brain mechanisms of this switching direction effect would rely on more experiment data from behavioral, fMRI, ERP, and/or other investigating approaches.

In summary, we investigated the brain mechanisms underlying the attention shift function of working memory with a combined behavioral and ERP experiment. Results revealed that figure identification priming leads to a small but significant contribution to the attention switching cost. We also found two ERP correlates of mental attention shift around 280 ms and 388 ms following stimulus presentation. The source localizations of these two ERP components are consistent with our previous fMRI findings and provide a dynamic picture of the involved brain activity. While our previous study produced a static BOLD activation map, the present result suggests that the temporal-occipital region and the cingulate area activate in specific order. The left prefrontal cortex collaborates with both of them and thus may play a dominant role in this internal attention switching function.

4. E e e a ced es

4.1. Participants

Twenty-four undergraduate students (12 males and 12 females, age range 19–25, all right handed with normal or corrected-to-normal vision) at the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC) participated in the present study. They were randomly recruited as two groups with 12 students in each. The first group (7 females and 5 males), which was initially recruited, only performed the "tri-count" task. The second group (5 females and 7 males) performed both the "tri-count" and the "figure identification" task. All subjects gave consent to participate in this experiment and in return received course extra credit.

4.2. Stimuli

The stimuli were 3 types of geometric figures (triangle (T), ellipse (E), and rectangle (R)) serially presented on a 21-in VGA color monitor (viewing angles: $2.4 \times 4.8^{\circ}$ for the rectangle and ellipse, $4.4 \times 4.4^{\circ}$ for the triangle). For both the "tri-count" and the "figure identification" task, the stimulus presentation sequence was exactly the same. It consisted of 55 blocks of serially presented figures, with the first 5 blocks used for practice. Except for the practice blocks, the remaining 50 blocks contained 110 figure switches for each of the nine possible

permutations of successive figure pair (RR, RE, RT, ER, EE, ET, TR, TE, and TT).

In the "tri-count" task, according to different permutations of the successive stimuli, four experimental conditions were defined: No Switch (NS: successive stimuli were same, consecutive updates focused on the same memory count), Switch (S: successive stimuli were different, consecutive updates required an attention switch between two memory counts), Down Switch (DS: successive figure change required an attention shift along the direction of rehearsal order. For example, if a subject's rehearsing order is R–E–T, the DS conditions involved 3 types of successive figure changes: RE, RT, and ET), 5 nd

7e521(suUcessnd)8.2(e)-344.3(figure)-539.4(changes521(st(requirTc(S)9.1/F-

presentation of one figure (it could randomly be a rectangle, an ellipse, or a triangle) at the center of the screen. Then each key press by the participants would immediately erase the current figure and randomly bring up a new one.

In the "tri-count" task, subjects could press any key on the keyboard to advance the trial. They were asked to count each figure type until they reached the end of the trial, at which time a sentence was presented instructing an oral report of the counting result. Based on the report, the experimenter immediately gave oral feedback in the form of "right" or "wrong, the correct counts should be XXX". For the three figure types, there were six permutations of reporting order (R–E–T, R–T–E, E–R–T, E–T–R, T–R–E, and T–E–R). The 24 subjects were randomly divided into six groups, each assigned one reporting order.

The "figure identification" task used the same stimuli (and computer program) as the "tri-count" task. Without keeping an online count of each figure type, subjects here just needed to identify each presented figure by pressing a predefined key (the "1", "2", or "3" key one the number keyboard). For each individual subject, the figure-key mapping was consistent with his/her own reporting order in the "tri-count" task. For example, if the reporting order was E–R–T, then in the "figure identification" task, the subject was asked to press the "1" key on identifying an ellipse, the "2" key on identifying a rectangle, and the "3" key on identifying a triangle.

For the subjects who performed both the "tri-count" and the "figure identification" task, the order of the two tasks was counter balanced. They were required to proceed through each block as accurately and quickly as possible. In both tasks, the reaction time for each individual presentation (the time from a figure drawn on screen to the subsequent key press) was recorded. In addition, the counting result of each block and the identification accuracy for each figure presentation were also recorded in the two tasks, respectively.

4.4. EEG recording

The electroencephalographic activity was recorded with a 64channel EEG/ERP Neuroscan (Compumedics LTD.) system that included a nylon mesh cap with embedded tin electrodes, the SYNAMPS AC amplifiers, and a 3D electrode position digitizer. All scalp electrodes were referenced to the right mastoid. The impedance of each electrode was kept below 5 k Ω . With an online 0.1 Hz–70 Hz band pass filtering (half-amplitude cutoff) and a 50-Hz notch applied, the data were continuously digitized at 250 Hz. We recorded the vertical and the horizontal electro-oculogram by electrodes placed above and below the left eye (VEOG) and external to the outer canthus of each eye (HEOG). Subjects were informed about blink and eye movement artifacts and were encouraged to reduce them during the counting period (Picton et al., 2000).

4.5. MR image acquisition

High-resolution (124 sagittal slices without gap, in-plane resolution: 256×256 pixels, thickness: 1.2 mm) anatomical MRI images from one of the participants were collected on a GE 1.5 T MR scanner using a spoiled-GRASS sequence (TE = 3 ms, TR = 30 ms).

4.6. ERP data analysis

The raw "continuous" data were off-line low-pass filtered at 30 Hz (24 dB). Trials with incorrect behavioral responses were excluded from the subsequent analysis. Epochs with artifacts exceeding ±75 µv at any electrode were rejected. Because identifying a figure was commonly faster than updating its count in working memory, based on the behavioral RT, the ERPs were averaged using epochs from -200 ms to 1800 ms in the "tri-count" task and -200 ms to 600 ms in the "figure identification" task. In both tasks, ERP amplitudes of the "NS" and "S" condition were subjected to a repeated measure ANOVA (using the mean amplitude of each 100 ms time bin after stimulus) analysis and a paired t test (point-by-point) comparison. We found in preliminary analysis that the counter/stimulus switching effects were mostly evident at the middle line channels; thus, the ANOVA was performed only on the data from the 4 middle line electrodes (FZ, CZ, PZ, and OZ). So the ANOVA design for the "tri-count" task was 18 (time bins) \times 4 (channels) \times 2 ("Switch"/"No-Switch"), and for the "figure identification" task was 6 (time bins) \times 4 (channels) × 2 ("Switch"/"No-Switch"). The same ANOVA and t test analysis was also performed between ERPs of "DS" and "US" in the "tri-count" task. For the difference wave generated by subtracting the "NS" wave from the "S" wave, the attention switch effect was represented by the maximum amplitude values of the global field power (GFP, see Ref. Lehmann and Skrandies, 1980). Because the GFP is a measure defined as the standard deviation across multiple channels, it guaranteed that the amplitudes were not contaminated by activity that contributed equally to both the "NS" and "S" potentials (for example, the sensory components).

4.7. Source estimation

The sources of cortical activity were estimated as current densities. Compared to an equivalent dipole algorithm, which needs a priori knowledge of the number and class of sources involved in the brain activity, the current density method is relatively assumption free.

In the present source reconstruction analysis, we used a set of electrode positions that were generated by averaging all subjects' individual electrodes position data. The realistic 3D volume conductor model was derived by segmenting one subject's high-resolution MR images into several shells (cortical surface, cerebrospinal fluid space, bone structure of the skull, and the skin) using the boundary element method (BEM, see Ref. Fuchs et al., 1998).

After importing the "S" – "NS" difference wave (an average of all the 24 subjects) into the multi-modal neuroimaging software CURRY 4.6 (Neuroscan Inc.), we used the "minimum norm least squares" (MNLS) method (Fuchs et al., 1999) as the source reconstruction model. In this model, the BEM surface grid of the cortical surface was used as a predefined source compartment. We represented these compartments by a net of about 4000 triangles. The MNLS model has a bias toward high gain source location that would overemphasize superficial activations. To compensate for this, the algorithm includes an additional model term that weighs the estimated currents to account for the lower gains of deeper dipole components. Finally, the regularization parameter that links the model term to the data were determined by the χ^2 criterion, relying on the assumption that the data misfit is on the order of the amount of noise in the data (refer to Curry User Guide for detail). The noise level of our data was estimated from the baseline activity within a period of 200 ms prior to the stimulus onset.

ې Ac edg e

We thank Stephen LaConte for improving the quality of the

- Manes, F., Sahakian, B., Clark, L., Rogers, R., Antoun, N., Aitken, M., Robbins, T., 2002. Decision-making processes following damage to the prefrontal cortex. Brain 125, 624–639.
- Picton, T.W., Bentin, S., Berg, P., Donchin, E., Hillyard, S.A., Johnson Jr., R., Miller, G.A., Ritter, W., Ruchkin, D.S., Rugg, M.D., Taylor, M.J., 2000. Guidelines for using human event-related potentials to study cognition: recording standards and publication criteria. Psychophysiology 37, 127–152.
- Rogers, R., Ramnani, N., Mackay, C., Wilson, J.L., Jezzard, P., Carter, C.S., Smith, S.M., 2004. Distinct portions of anterior cingulate cortex and medial prefrontal cortex are activated by reward processing in separable phases of decision-making cognition. Biol. Psychiatry 55, 594–602.
- Rowe, J., Friston, K., Frackowiak, R., Passingham, R., 2000. Attention to action: specific modulation of corticocortical interactions in humans. NeuroImage 17, 988–998.

- Sternberg, S., 1966. High-speed scanning in human memory. Science 153, 652–654.
- Sylvester, C.C., Wager, T.D., Lacey, S.C., Hernandez, L., Nichols, T.E., Smith, E.E., Jonides, J., 2003. Switching attention and resolving interference: fMRI measures of executive functions. Neuropsychologia 41, 357–370.
- Wagner, M., Fuchs, M., 2001. Integration of functional MRI, Structural MRI, EEG and MEG. Int. J. Bioelectromagn. 3.
- Yantis, S., Schwarzbach, J., Serences, J.T., Carlson, R.L., Steinmetz, M.A., Pekar, J.J., Courtney, S.M., 2002. Transient neural activity in human parietal cortex during spatial attention shifts. Nat. Neurosci. 5, 995–1002.
- Zhang, D., Li, Z., Chen, X., Wang, Z., Zhang, X., Meng, X., He, S., Hu, X., 2003. Functional comparison of primacy, middle and recency retrieval in human auditory short-term memory: an event-related fMRI study. Cogn. Brain Res. 16, 91–98.